UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FILLU

CASE SUMMARY

Case Number 007-00134	Defendant Number 5 2007 FFR 28 AM II: 1.3	
U.S.A. v. Scott Henry Walther	Year of Birth 1976	
✓ Indictment ☐ Information Investigative ag	gency (FBI, DEA, etc.) IRS and Postal	
NOTE: All items are to be completed. Information not appl	icable or unknown shall be indicated as "N/A".	
OFFENSE/VENUE	COMPLEX CASE	
a. Offense charged as a: □ Petty Offense	Are there 8 or more defendants in the Indictment/Information?	
☐ Misdemeanor ☐ Minor Offense ☑ Felony	□ Yes* ▼ No	
b. Date of offense 2001-July 2006		
c. County in which first offense occurred	Will more than 12 days be required to present government's	
LA	evidence in the case-in-chief?	
d. The crimes charged are alleged to have been committed in:	□ Yes*	
CHECK ALL THAT APPLY		
☑ Los Angeles ☐ Ventura	*AN ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES OF THE NOTICE OF COMPLEX	
☐ Orange ☐ Santa Barbara	CASE MUST BE FILED 2 BUSINESS DAYS BEFORE THE	
☐ Riverside ☐ San Luis Obispo	ARRAIGNMENT IF EITHER YES BOX IS CHECKED.	
☐ San Bernardino ☐ Other	ARRAIONMENT IF EITHER LES DOX IS CHECKED.	
Citation of offense 18 USC 1341, 1956(a)(1)(A)(i)	Superseding Indictment/Information	
	This is the NA superseding charge, i.e. 1 st , 2 nd .	
	The superseding case was previously filed on:	
RELATED CASE	NA	
Has an indictment or information involving this defendant and	Case Number NA	
the same transaction or series of transactions been previously	Case Number 14/1	
filed and dismissed before trial? ✓ No □ Yes	The superseded case:	
IF YES Case Number	☐ is still pending before Judge/Magistrate Judge	
	NA	
Pursuant to Section 11 of General Order 224, criminal cases	☐ was previously dismissed on NA	
may be related if a previously filed indictment or information	was previously distills sed off MA	
and the present case:	Are there 8 or more defendants in the superseding case?	
a. arise out of the same conspiracy, common scheme,	☐ Yes* • No	
transaction, series of transactions or events; or	11C5 E 1NO	
b. involve one or more defendants in common, and would	Will more than 12 days be required to present government's	
entail substantial duplication of labor in pretrial, trial or	evidence in the case-in-chief?	
sentencing proceedings if heard by difference judges.	□ Yes*	
Related case(s), if any: MUST MATCH NOTICE OF RELATED	□ 163 E 140	
CASE United States v. Henry Walther, No. CR 07-70-DDP	Was a Notice of Complex Case filed on the Indictment or	
	Information?	
	☐ Yes	
PREVIOUSLY FILED COMPLAINT	E 103	
A complaint was previously filed on: N/A	*AN ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES OF THE NOTICE OF COMPLEX	
Case Number	CASE MUST BE FILED 2 BUSINESS DAYS BEFORE THE	
Charging	ARRAIGNMENT IF EITHER YES BOX IS CHECKED.	
	AMMAIONMENT IF EITHER 125 BUX IS CHECKED.	
The complaint: \square is still pending	Is an interpreter required: ☐ Yes ☑ No	
☐ was dismissed on:	IF YES, list language and/or dialect:	
	NA	

CR-72 (12/05)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE SUMMARY

OTHER ✓ Male ✓ U.S. Citizen Alias Name(s) NA	□ Female □ Alien	CUSTODY STATUS Defendant is not in custody: a. Date and time of arrest on complaint: b. Posted bond at complaint level on:	
IF YES, should matter be sealed? ☐ Yes The area of substantive law that will be involved in this includes: ☐ financial institution fraud ☐ public corruption ☐ government fraud ☐ tax offenses ☐ environmental issues ☐ mail/wire fraud ☐ narcotics offenses ☐ immigration of	ed as "High Risk" por Attorney. ted as "Special Case of No Yes No No ill be involved in this case of mail/wire fraud immigration offenses corporate fraud	f.	
EXCLUDABLE TIME Determinations as to excludable time Date February 27, 2007	prior to filing indictmen	pursuant to F.R.CrP2021400	
Date 1 column y 27, 2007		Signature of Assistant U.S. Attorney Ellyn Marcus Lindsay Print Name	